

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		_				
APPLICATION NO	O	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/699,823		11/04/2003	James O. Smith JR.	CDR-02-011	4446	
25537	7590	06/02/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
VERIZO:	- '	MENT GROUP	WOO, STELLA L			
• • • • • • •		USE ROAD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 50	-		2614			
ARLING	ron, va	22201-2909	DATE MAILED: 06/02/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/699,823	SMITH ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Stella L. Woo	2614				
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 N</u>	<u>larch 2006</u> .					
2a) <u> </u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositio	n of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-5,7-11 and 13-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5,7-11 and 13-33 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicatio		·					
9)	he specification is objected to by the Examine he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception and acception are applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct he oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the Education of the Education of the drawing (s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing (s) is objected to be a second or be	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority un	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s	s)						
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date <u>03/07/2006</u> .	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/699,823

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 7, 10-11, 13-17, 21-24, 27-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chaturvedi et al. (US 6,950,500, hereinafter "Chaturvedi") in view of Werndorfer et al. (US 2004/0024822 A1, hereinafter "Werndorfer").

Chaturvedi discloses a system and method for providing communication services (for the hearing/speech impair persons) as claimed, see all figures, Abstract, col. 2, line 49 to col. 9, line 29, for example. Chaturvedi teaches receiving a call request from a hearing-impaired party 12 for establishing a call with a non-impaired party 14 (e.g. col. 6, lines 62-66), identifying a communication assistant 24 (e.g. col. 7, lines 24-25), forwarding the call to the communication assistant (e.g. col. 7, lines 26-31), establishing a link between the hearing-impaired party and the communication assistant, establishing a link to the non-impaired party from the communication assistant (e.g. col. 7 lines 34-44), automatically receiving instant text messages from the hearing-impaired party and translating it to voice messages for transmitting to the non-impaired party and translating it to voice messages for transmitting to the non-

Art Unit: 2614

impaired party, and receiving voice messages from the non-impaired party and translating it to instant text messages for the hearing-impaired party (e.g. see col. 5 lines 18-22, col. 7 lines 45-59, col. 8, lines 29-32).

Chaturvedi differs from claims 1-5, 7, 10-11, 13-17, 21-24, 27-33 in that it does not disclose a selection area for allowing the hearing-impaired party to select an instant messaging program from a plurality of instant messaging programs. However, Werndorfer teaches the desirability of allowing a user to select from a plurality of instant messaging programs (via service bar 310 or connection manager window 500; paragraphs 50-51) such that it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill to incorporate such a selection area, as taught by Werndorfer, within the system of Chaturvedi in order to allow the hearing-impaired party to choose the instant messaging program with which to communicate.

As to claims 4, 17, see Chaturvedi, Fig. 1 which shows Internet 20.

As to claims 5, 11 see Chaturvedi, col. 6, lines 8-18 which discloses transmitting interface screens to the hearing-impaired user to input called telephone number, text of conversation with the communication assistant.

As to claim 24, see Chatuwedi col. 5 lines 18-22 which discloses the communication assistant is automated.

As to claims 7, 13, see Chaturvedi, col. 8, lines 32-34 which discloses the use of an e-mail address (e.g. john@aol) for identifying the instant message user.

Art Unit: 2614

As to claim 33, see Chaturvedi, col. 4, lines 64-66.

3. Claims 8, 9, 18-20, 25, 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.103(a) as being unpatentable over Chaturvedi in view of Werndorfer, and further in view of Hamilton (US PAT. 6,801,613 filed Aug. 31, 2000).

As to claims 8, 18, 25, Chaturvedi although discloses the connection to the non-impaired user can be a digital connection (e.g. col. 8, lines 1-3), Chaturvedi differs from the claimed invention in not explicitly disclosing that the connection to the non-impaired user is a voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) link. However, it is old and well known in the art to use voice over Internet Protocol (VOIP) link in ACD systems as an alternative since a VoIP link provides cost and bandwidth advantages over a traditional PSTN link. VoIP also advantageously provides both data and voice over the same network, for example see Hamilton col. 20, lines 36-58. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Chatuvedi's digital link between the communication assistant and the non-impaired user to comprise a voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) link.

As to claims 9, 19, 26, the call between the hearing-impaired party, communication assistant and the hearing party is a conference call.

Furthermore, Chatuwedi (col. 9, lines 1-20) discloses a conference bridge 48 for making conference calls.

As to claim 20, the examiner takes Official Notice that TDD relay services are known to comprise a voice carry over environment and speech-to-speech

Application/Control Number: 10/699,823 Page 5

Art Unit: 2614

environment when a communication assistant communicates with the hearing party, and when a speech impaired person is involved, the relay call is in a 'HCO' or 'hearing carry over' relay call environment.

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 7-11, 13-33 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stella L. Woo whose telephone number is (571) 272-7512. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on (571) 272-7499. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/699,823 Page 6

Art Unit: 2614

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Stella L. Woo Primary Examiner Art Unit 2614